

Contents

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Figures</i>	xxv
<i>List of Tables</i>	xxxi

PART I EXPLAINING CRIME EVENTS AND CRIMINAL CAREERS: SITUATIONAL ACTION THEORY AND ITS DEVELOPMENTAL ECOLOGICAL ACTION MODEL

1 Towards a Dynamic Developmental and Life Course Criminology	3
1.1 Developmental and Life Course	
Criminology: Contributions and Common Limitations	5
1.1.1 Empirically strong but theoretically weak	6
1.1.2 The neglect of the crime event	7
1.1.3 The importance of exploring matching developments	8
1.1.4 The lack of a general guiding theory	9
1.2 The Fallacy of Assuming ‘Cause by Association’: The Importance of Differentiating Correlation and Causation in the Explanation of Crime and Criminal Careers	10
1.2.1 Correlates, predictors, and causes	12
1.2.2 A cause must be a cause of something	13
1.2.3 Causes and ‘causes of the causes’	14
1.2.4 Cumulative risk as a marker of context	15
1.2.5 Longitudinal studies and the problem of causation	16
1.2.6 The need to take stock of the problem of causation	17
1.3 The Importance of Addressing the Dynamics of the Person–Environment Interaction in the Explanation of Crime and Criminal Careers	19
1.3.1 Why some people and not others?	19
1.3.2 Why some places and not others?	21
1.3.3 Why does people’s crime involvement differ and change over time?	22
1.3.4 The need for a more dynamic study of people’s crime and criminal careers	25

xiv Contents

1.4 Foundations for a Dynamic Developmental and Life Course Criminology	26
1.4.1 The DEA model of SAT as the foundation for a dynamic developmental and life course criminology	27
2 Explaining Crime Events and Criminal Careers: Situational Action Theory (SAT) and its Developmental Ecological Action (DEA) Model	29
2.1 The Nature of Crime: Analysing Crime as Moral Actions	30
2.1.1 Defining crime	30
2.1.2 Explaining self-interested actions or rule-breakings	32
2.1.3 The law and the dynamics of rule-guidance	34
2.1.4 SAT is not a moralistic theory	37
2.2 Basic Assumptions about Human Nature and Society and their Implications for the Explanation of Crime	38
2.2.1 Rational choice and SAT	41
2.2.1.1 The importance of rule-guidance	42
2.2.1.2 Deliberative choices and the decision mechanism	44
2.2.1.3 Explaining automated choices	45
2.2.1.4 Self-interest as a motivator	47
2.2.2 Puppets or actors? A note on causation and choice in the explanation of human action	48
2.3 Why Crime Happens: Detailing the Situational Mechanism and its Input	50
2.3.1 Character and circumstances	50
2.3.2 The perception–choice (PC) process	52
2.3.2.1 Motivation	53
2.3.2.2 The moral filter	54
2.3.2.3 Choices and controls	55
2.3.2.4 The importance of differentiating between the ability to and the exercising of self-control when analysing and studying its role in crime causation	57
2.3.2.5 Can controls promote law-breaking?	60
2.3.3 Controls and morality in SAT and the General Theory of Crime	61
2.4 Explaining Criminal Careers	64
2.4.1 Psychoecological processes: Moral education and cognitive nurturing	66
2.4.2 Moral education	67
2.4.2.1 The ecology of moral education and the importance of moral influencers	70

2.4.2.2	SAT and the role of social integration and social bonds in moral education	72
2.4.2.3	SAT and the role of social learning in moral education	77
2.4.2.4	SAT on the ideas of moral disengagement (neutralisation) and the importance of rationales and justifications in moral education	83
2.4.3	Cognitive nurturing	90
2.4.4	Socioecological processes: Social and self-selection	93
2.4.4.1	Selection, criminogenic exposure, and crime	94
2.4.4.2	Social selection: General social influences on people's setting exposures	98
2.4.4.3	Self-selection: Navigating life within the constraints of social selection	101
2.5	Summing Up the Key Explanatory Propositions of SAT and its DEA Model	105
2.5.1	A note on universal and context-specific aspects of the explanatory processes	109
2.5.2	Testing SAT and its DEA model: Explaining criminal careers	110

PART II INTRODUCING THE PETERBOROUGH ADOLESCENT AND YOUNG ADULT DEVELOPMENT STUDY (PADS+): RESEARCH DESIGN AND KEY FINDINGS ABOUT PARTICIPANTS' CRIME AND CRIMINAL CAREERS

3	The Peterborough Adolescent and Young Adult Development Study (PADS+): An Overview	115
3.1	Introducing PADS+	116
3.1.1	The cohort study	118
3.1.1.1	Sample	118
3.1.1.2	Data collection schedule	119
3.1.1.3	Data quality	121
3.1.1.4	Retention	121
3.1.1.5	Missing data	125
3.1.1.6	Key characteristics of the sample and lost participants	125
3.2	Research Methods	128
3.2.1	Parents' interviews	129
3.2.2	Participants' interviews	130
3.2.2.1	Interviewer-led questionnaire	131
3.2.2.2	Cognitive tasks	132

xvi Contents

3.2.2.3	Space–time budget	133
3.2.2.4	Contact sheet and event calendar	138
3.2.3	Criminal justice records	139
3.2.4	Community surveys	139
3.2.4.1	Sampling	139
3.2.4.2	Details of sample	144
3.3	Conclusion	146
4	Crime and Criminal Careers	147
4.1	Measuring Crime and Criminal Careers	147
4.1.1	Police-recorded crime data	148
4.1.2	Self-reported crime data	150
4.1.3	Crime types	153
4.1.4	The study of criminal careers and the problem of temporal ordering	156
4.2	Criminal Careers: Young People’s Crime, Ages 13 to 24	162
4.2.1	Patterns of crime involvement: Prevalence and frequency	163
4.2.2	Crime across the ages: The age–crime curve	167
4.2.3	Differences in criminal careers	170
4.2.4	The changing content and nature of crime with age	172
4.3	Conclusion	175
5	Trajectories of Crime	178
5.1	Trajectory Modelling and Crime Involvement	180
5.2	Subgroups with Different Trajectories of Crime	185
5.3	Methodological Considerations for the Growth Mixture Model	187
5.3.1	Distinctiveness between and homogeneity within crime trajectory groups	191
5.3.1.1	Uncertainty of group membership	193
5.3.1.2	Variation around the mean	195
5.4	Demographic and Key Crime Characteristics of Crime Trajectory Groups	197
5.4.1	Basic demographics of crime trajectory groups	197
5.4.2	Crime involvement of crime trajectory groups	199
5.4.3	Self-reported crime of crime trajectory groups	201
5.4.3.1	Crime prevalence	201
5.4.3.2	Crime frequency	202
5.4.3.3	Self-reported crime types	206
5.4.3.4	Criminal career characteristics	209
5.4.4	Police-recorded crime of crime trajectory groups	213
5.5	Conclusion	215

PART III THE DEA MODEL: TESTING THE CAUSES
AND DRIVERS OF CRIMINAL CAREERS

6 Character and Crime Propensity	219
6.1 The Concept of Character	219
6.2 Measuring Crime Propensity	221
6.2.1 Measuring personal morality	222
6.2.2 Measuring the ability to exercise self-control	226
6.2.3 Measuring crime propensity	231
6.2.4 Propensity and crime	234
6.3 Stability and Change in Crime Propensity	235
6.3.1 Stability in subcomponents of crime propensity	236
6.4 Trajectories of Crime Propensity	239
6.5 Distinctiveness between and Homogeneity within Crime Propensity Trajectory Groups	244
6.5.1 Uncertainty of group membership	245
6.5.2 Variation around the mean	246
6.6 Demographic and Key Personal Characteristics of Crime Propensity Trajectory Groups: Some Descriptives	249
6.6.1 Basic demographics of crime propensity trajectory groups	250
6.6.2 Early childhood psychological and behavioural indicators of crime propensity trajectory groups	255
6.7 Conclusion	276
7 Criminogenic Circumstances and Exposure	279
7.1 Criminogenic Circumstances and Crime	279
7.2 Measuring Criminogenic Settings	280
7.2.1 Measuring exposure to criminogenic settings	284
7.2.2 Measuring crime prone peers	292
7.2.2.1 How criminogenic are crime prone peers?	294
7.2.3 Measuring criminogenic exposure	298
7.2.4 Criminogenic exposure and crime	300
7.3 Stability and Change in Criminogenic Exposure	301
7.3.1 Stability in subcomponents of criminogenic exposure	303
7.4 Trajectories of Criminogenic Exposure	306
7.5 Distinctiveness between and Homogeneity within Criminogenic Exposure Trajectory Groups	310
7.5.1 Uncertainty of group membership	311
7.5.2 Variation around the mean	311
7.6 Demographic and Key Time Use Characteristics of Criminogenic Exposure Trajectory Groups: Some Descriptives	315

xviii	Contents	
7.6.1	Basic demographics of criminogenic exposure trajectory groups	315
7.6.2	Time use indicators of criminogenic exposure trajectory groups	319
7.6.2.1	Time unsupervised with peers (outside of school and work contexts)	319
7.6.2.2	Time spent in school places or doing school activities (e.g. homework)	321
7.6.2.3	Time spent with family or in family activities	323
7.7	Conclusion	327
8	The Age and Crime Distribution and its Explanation	330
8.1	The Age–Crime Curve	331
8.1.1	A smorgasbord explanation	331
8.1.2	Explaining the age–crime curve: The DEA model of SAT	333
8.2	Propensity and Exposure Predicting Crime at Each Age	341
8.3	Testing whether the DEA Model of SAT Can Account for the Age–Crime Curve	344
8.4	Conclusion	351
9	Crime Propensity and Criminogenic Exposure: The Drivers of Crime Trajectories	353
9.1	Stability in the Relationship between Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime through Adolescence and into Young Adulthood	358
9.1.1	Stability in the relationship between crime propensity and criminogenic exposure	359
9.1.2	Stability in the relationship between crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and crime	360
9.1.3	Stability in the relationship between crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and crime within individuals	364
9.2	Changes in Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime across Short Time Intervals	369
9.2.1	Short-term changes between individuals	370
9.2.2	Short-term changes within individuals	372
9.3	Changes in Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime across Long Time Intervals	380
9.3.1	Correspondence between trajectories of crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and crime	380
9.3.2	Using trajectories of crime propensity and criminogenic exposure to predict trajectories of crime	382

9.3.3 Using patterns of change in crime propensity and criminogenic exposure to predict patterns of change in crime	387
9.4 Conclusion	393

PART IV THE DEA MODEL: EXPLORING THE ROLE OF SOCIAL CONTEXTS

10 Does Childhood Social Disadvantage and Social Adversity Set People on Particular Pathways in Crime?	401
10.1 The Link between Social Disadvantage, Social Adversity, and Crime	402
10.1.1 Measuring social disadvantage and social adversity	408
10.1.1.1 Social disadvantage	408
10.1.1.2 Measuring social disadvantage	408
10.1.1.3 Social adversity	409
10.1.1.4 Measuring social adversity	413
10.2 Childhood Experiences Predicting Trajectories of Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime Involvement	420
10.2.1 The relationship between social disadvantage and crime	422
10.2.2 Social context and crime causation: The relationship between social disadvantage and crime propensity and criminogenic exposure	423
10.2.3 The link between social disadvantage, crime propensity, and criminogenic exposure: Childhood social adversity	424
10.2.4 Real-world significance	428
10.3 The Select Few: The Link between Childhood Social Disadvantage and Trajectories of Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime	429
10.3.1 What proportion of people experiencing childhood social disadvantage become persistent offenders?	430
10.3.2 What proportion of people experiencing childhood social disadvantage display a pattern of heightened crime propensity or criminogenic exposure?	431
10.4 The Select Few: The Link between Childhood Social Adversity, Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Trajectories of Crime	434
10.4.1 What proportion of people experiencing childhood social disadvantage experience childhood social adversity?	435

xx Contents

10.4.2	What proportion of people experiencing childhood social adversity go on to follow a trajectory of heightened crime involvement?	436
10.4.3	What proportion of people experiencing childhood social adversity display a pattern of heightened crime propensity or criminogenic exposure?	437
10.4.4	Types of childhood social adversity and patterns of crime propensity and criminogenic exposure	443
10.4.4.1	Family attachment	446
10.4.4.2	Family functioning	448
10.4.4.3	School relationships	452
10.4.4.4	Conclusions about childhood social adversity and trajectories of crime propensity and criminogenic exposure	454
10.4.5	Conclusions about relationships between childhood social disadvantage and social adversity and following different trajectories of crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and crime involvement	455
10.5	Social Adversity and Adolescence-Limited Crime Involvement	456
10.5.1	Time use	458
10.5.2	Peers' crime involvement	461
10.5.3	Substance use	464
10.5.3.1	Alcohol consumption	464
10.5.3.2	Drug use	466
10.5.4	Summary	476
10.6	Conclusion	476
11	Do Changing Connections to Key Social Institutions Influence Crime Propensities, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime Involvement through Adolescence and into Early Adulthood?	478
11.1	Social Relationships and Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime in Early to Mid-Adolescence (Ages 13 to 16)	480
11.1.1	Measuring relationships ages 13 to 16	480
11.1.2	Do changes in social relationships predict changes in crime propensity and criminogenic exposure from ages 13 to 16?	482
11.1.3	Do changes in crime propensity and criminogenic exposure mediate any association between changes in social relationships and changes in crime involvement from ages 13 to 16?	484

	Contents	xxi
11.2 Social Relationships and Crime Propensity, Criminogenic Exposure, and Crime in Late Adolescence and Early Adulthood (Ages 16 to 24)		487
11.2.1 Are differences in institutional connection associated with different trajectories of crime propensity and criminogenic exposure from ages 16 to 24?		492
11.3 Conclusions		498
12 CODA		500
Appendices		
Technical Appendix		505
A.1 Standardising Variables across People and Time		505
A.2 Autoregressive Models		505
A.2.1 Stability in crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and their components over time		506
A.2.2 Stability in the relationship between crime propensity and criminogenic exposure over time		506
A.3 Latent Growth Curve and Growth Mixture Modelling		507
A.3.1 Latent Growth Curve Modelling		507
A.3.1.1 Growth curve of crime involvement		508
A.3.1.2 Growth curves of crime propensity and its subcomponents		510
A.3.1.3 Growth curves of criminogenic exposure and its subcomponents		513
A.3.2 Growth Mixture Models		514
A.3.2.1 Trajectories of crime involvement		515
A.3.2.2 Trajectories of crime propensity		516
A.3.2.3 Trajectories of criminogenic exposure		518
A.3.2.4 Trajectories of propensity, exposure, and crime		519
A.4 Uncertainty of Group Membership		520
A.5 Confidence Bands		521
A.6 Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)		521
A.6.1 Social relationships predicting crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and crime involvement ages 13 to 16		522
A.6.2 Social relationships predicting crime propensity, criminogenic exposure, and crime involvement ages 16 to 24		522
A.6.2.1 Longitudinal latent class analysis		522
A.6.2.2 Multi-group dual latent growth curve model		522

xxii	Contents	
A.7	Regression Models	523
A.7.1	Ordinary least squares	523
A.7.2	Random and fixed effects models	524
A.7.2.1	Fixed effects dummy variable method	524
A.7.2.2	Fixed effects mean deviation method	524
A.7.2.3	Hybrid (between-within) method	525
	<i>References</i>	527
	<i>Index</i>	561